

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 MARGARET NALLEY,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE, et al.,

15 Defendants.
16

No. 2:20-CV-1857-TLN-DMC

ORDER

17 Plaintiff, who is proceeding pro se, brings this civil action. Pending before the
18 Court is Plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 2). For cases such as
19 this, which are based on federal question jurisdiction, the federal venue statute requires that the
20 action be brought only in (1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants
21 reside in the same State, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or
22 omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of
23 the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no
24 district in which the action may otherwise be brought. See 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). Here, the
25 claim(s) arose in San Francisco County, which is within the boundaries of the United States
26 District Court for the Northern District of California. Therefore, the Court finds that this action
27 most appropriately proceeds in that district. In the interest of justice, the Court will transfer this
28 case. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a).

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the
2 United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

3
4 Dated: August 9, 2021

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'Dennis M. Cota', written over a horizontal line.

DENNIS M. COTA
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE